Docusign Envelope ID: E51B41D4-A394-8753-83D2-07691188803C

Elwira Kelly
Claimant
Seventh Statement
12 November 2026
Exhibit "EK7"

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE **CLAIM NO. PT-2025-000910**

BUSINESS AND PROPERTY DIVISION

BETWEEN

(1) MOOG WOLVERHAMPTON LIMITED

(2) MOOG FERNAU LIMITED

(3) MOOG READING LIMITED

(4) MOOG CONTROLS LIMITED

Claimants

- and -

(1) PERSONS UNKNOWN WHO FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROTEST OR SABOTAGE ENTER OCCUPY OR REMAIN ON, OR DAMAGE, THE LAND AND PREMISES SHOWN EDGED RED ON PLAN 1 BEING MOOG AIRCRAFT GROUP WOLVERHAMPTON, VALIANT WAY, PENDEFORD, WOLVERHAMPTON WV9 5GB, OR WHO OBSTRUCT AND/OR INTERFERE WITH OR ATTEMPT TO OBSTRUCT AND/OR INTERFERE WITH ACCESS TO OR EGRESS FROM THAT LAND AND PREMISES

(2) PERSONS UNKNOWN WHO FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROTEST OR SABOTAGE ENTER OCCUPY OR REMAIN ON, OR DAMAGE, THE LAND AND PREMISES SHOWN EDGED YELLOW ON PLAN 2 BEING MOOG CONTROLS LTD LUTON, UNITS C AND J AIRPORT EXECUTIVE PARK, PRESIDENT WAY, LUTON LU2 9NY, OR WHO OBSTRUCT AND/OR INTERFERE WITH OR ATTEMPT TO OBSTRUCT AND/OR INTERFERE WITH ACCESS TO OR EGRESS FROM THAT LAND AND PREMISES

(3) PERSONS UNKNOWN WHO FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROTEST OR SABOTAGE ENTER OCCUPY OR REMAIN ON, OR DAMAGE, THE LAND AND PREMISES SHOWN EDGED YELLOW ON PLAN 3 BEING MOOG READING LIMITED, 30 SUTTONS BUSINESS PARK, READING, BERKSHIRE RG6 1AW, OR WHO OBSTRUCT AND/OR INTERFERE WITH OR ATTEMPT TO OBSTRUCT AND/OR

Docusign Envelope ID: E51B41D4-A394-8753-83D2-07691188803C

INTERFERE WITH ACCESS TO OR EGRESS FROM THAT LAND AND PREMISES

(4) PERSONS UNKNOWN WHO FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROTEST OR SABOTAGE ENTER OCCUPY OR REMAIN ON, OR DAMAGE, THE LAND AND PREMISES SHOWN EDGED RED ON PLAN 4 BEING MOOG AIRCRAFT GROUP TEWKSBURY, ASHCHURCH, TEWKESBURY, GLOUCESTERSHIRE GL20 8NA, OR WHO OBSTRUCT AND/OR INTERFERE WITH OR ATTEMPT TO OBSTRUCT AND/OR INTERFERE WITH ACCESS TO OR EGRESS FROM THAT LAND AND PREMISES

(5) PERSONS UNKNOWN WHO FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROTEST OR SABOTAGE ENTER OCCUPY OR REMAIN ON, OR DAMAGE, THE LAND AND PREMISES SHOWN EDGED RED ON PLAN 5 BEING MOOG INDUSTRIAL GROUP, ASHCHURCH PARKWAY, TEWKESBURY, GLOUCESTERSHIRE GL20 8TU, OR WHO OBSTRUCT AND/OR INTERFERE WITH OR ATTEMPT TO OBSTRUCT AND/OR INTERFERE WITH ACCESS TO OR EGRESS FROM THAT LAND AND PREMISES

Defendants

SEVENTH WITNESS STATEMENT OF ELWIRA KELLY

INTRODUCTION

1. I am Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary at Moog Inc ("**Moog**"). I am authorised to make this witness statement on behalf of the Claimants. I have previously given six witness statements in these proceedings, the first dated 4 September 2025 ("**Kelly 1**"), the second dated 5 September 2025 ("**Kelly 2**"), the third dated 1 October 2025 ("**Kelly 3**"), the fourth dated 28 July 2026 ("**Kelly 4**"), the fifth dated 2 October 2026 ("**Kelly 5**"), and the Sixth dated 3 November 2026 ("**Kelly 6**"). For the avoidance of doubt, capitalised terms in this statement continue to adopt the definitions in previous witness statements unless otherwise stated.

2. I make this statement to update the court on correspondence received and procedural steps taken since Kelly 6. I understand that paragraph 7 of the Injunction Order allows Moog to file and serve any evidence in support of the Review Application 14 days before the Review Hearing, which has already passed. I therefore make this witness statement not as evidence in support of the Review Hearing (for which I respectfully direct the court to Kelly 5 and Kelly 6), but to update the court on procedural matters ahead of the Review Hearing.

Docusign Envelope ID: E51B41D4-A394-8753-83D2-07691188803C

LEGAL CORRESPONDENCE SINCE KELLY 6

3. I was made aware from colleagues who check the Moog legal inbox (being the email inbox which Moog has stated it can be contacted in relation to these proceedings, such email address also being included on all relevant documents and in correspondence to the Named Defendants) (the "**Moog Inbox**"), that an email was received on the morning of 9 November 2026 attaching a letter dated 26 October 2026, from a firm of solicitors who represent two of the Named Defendants, Mr Iain Evans and Ms Hana Yun Stevens, in the Criminal Proceedings (as defined in Kelly 5). A copy of the letter is exhibited at p.2.

4. As can be seen from that letter, the firm have confirmed that whilst they act for Mr Evans and Ms Stevens in the Criminal Proceedings, they are not in a position to go on record in relation to these proceedings. As such, any identifying details have been redacted from the letter for security purposes.

5. The letter states that Mr Evans and Ms Stevens requested the firm to ask for Moog's confirmation that, on the basis that these two Named Defendants do not seek to oppose the Joinder Application or Review Application, Moog agrees that there will be no application for costs against them in this matter.

6. Moog responded to the letter by first class post and email on 11 November 2026 (p.3). A copy of the response is included at p.4. By its response, Moog has confirmed that it will not seek costs from Mr Evans or Ms Stevens in respect of the Joinder Application or Review Application on the basis that Mr Evans and Ms Stevens do not seek to oppose these applications. Moog also asked the firm to seek instructions as to whether Mr Evans and Ms Stevens will permit the firm to accept service of documents on them in these proceedings, to assist in ensuring that all relevant documentation reaches the two Named Defendants as swiftly as possible, notwithstanding that the firm is not instructed in these proceedings. In aid of this, Moog also enclosed copies of the letters to Mr Evans and Ms Stevens dated the same date (as detailed below at paragraph 11(a)(i)).

7. As noted at paragraph 9 of Kelly 6, Moog has been unable to obtain confirmation of delivery of the documents to the address it holds for Ms Stevens. As referenced at paragraph 8(a)(v) of Kelly 6, Moog sent a further letter to Ms Stevens on 4 November 2026 to draw Ms Stevens' attention to the fact that documents were being held by Royal Mail for her. I understand that the documents have now been returned to Moog's solicitors, as the retention period for them to be collected from Royal Mail has expired. However, it appears clear to me from the firm's letter that Ms Stevens is nonetheless aware of the Review Application and the Joinder Application. As such, in the event that the firm referenced above is not permitted to accept service of documents in these proceedings on behalf of Ms Stevens, Moog will continue to serve any required documents on Ms Stevens to the address it holds for her, unless and until Ms Stevens (or her representative in these proceedings)

Docusign Envelope ID: E51B41D4-A394-8753-83D2-07691188803C

contacts Moog with an alternative address for service pursuant to paragraphs 1(iv) and (v) of the Alternative Means Order.

8. Whilst no response has yet been received to Moog's letters of 11 November 2026 nor the email of the same date, my colleagues will continue to monitor the Moog Inbox and update the court in due course should it receive further correspondence.

NOTIFICATION AND SERVICE

9. I set out below details of the means by which the documents in these proceedings have been:

   (a) served on the Named Defendants pursuant to the Alternative Means Order; and

   (b) notified to the Defendants (being 'Persons Unknown') pursuant to the Injunction Order,

   since Kelly 6 (such documents being Kelly 6 and Exhibit EK6, the updated draft amended Injunction Order, and letters regarding the bundles for the Review Hearing and the bundle indices).

   Service of documents on the Named Defendants

10. Paragraph numbers in sub-headings below refer to the relevant paragraphs in the Alternative Means Order:

   (a) Posting a copy of those documents together with a covering letter by way of first-class post to the addresses contained in Schedule 1 of the Alternative Means Order (paragraph 1(i))

      (i) The bundle prepared for the Review Hearing runs to 734 pages (the "**Review Hearing Bundle**"). The bundle prepared for the return hearing on 6 October 2025 (at which the Injunction Order was granted) runs to 453 pages (the "**Original Bundle**"). Moog is conscious that this is a large (and perhaps overwhelming) volume of documents to serve on the Named Defendants, who are individuals and, as far as Moog is aware, do not have solicitors instructed to act for them in these proceedings, nor receive documents on behalf of them. As such, Moog has instead served letters on the Named Defendants (pp.5-36), enclosing the indices for the Review Hearing Bundle and the Original Bundle, and which note that (i) both bundles will be available online on the Injunction Website; (ii) Moog will ensure that hard copies of the bundles will be made available at the Review Hearing; and (iii) the Named Defendants should contact the designated Moog Inbox should they wish to receive hard copies of the bundles.

Docusign Envelope ID: E51B41D4-A394-8753-83D2-07691188803C

(ii) The letters referred to at paragraph 10(a)(i) above were posted by first-class post on 11 November 2026 to each of the Named Defendants. Pictures of the envelopes can be found at pp.37-39.

(b) Uploading a copy of those documents to the Injunction Website (paragraph 1(ii))

(i) I confirm that the Review Hearing Bundle and Original Bundle are both available on the above website. A screenshot of the website as of 11 November 2026 is included at pp.40-41.

(c) Placing signs at prominent locations on the perimeter of the Sites which advises that the Joinder Application and the Review Application have been made and which provide a weblink and QR code by which the Injunction Website can be accessed (paragraph 1(iii))

(i) As detailed at paragraph 8(d) of Kelly 6, Signs (as defined at paragraph 1(iii) of the Alternative Means Order) have been erected at the Prominent Locations (as defined at paragraph 14 of the Injunction Order) at each Site.

(ii) A copy of the Signs is exhibited at pp.42-46. The Signs also note that any further documents filed by Moog ahead of the Review Hearing can be found at the Injunction Website or obtained by emailing the Moog Inbox.

Notification on the Defendants

11. Paragraph 11 of the Injunction Order requires that notification to the Defendants (being the First to Fifth defendants in the proceedings (or the 'Persons Unknown')) of any further documents may be effected by Moog carrying out each of the following steps:

(a) Uploading a copy of the documents on to the Injunction Website, pursuant to paragraph 10(i) of the Injunction Order. As I explain above at paragraph 10(b)(i), copies of the Review Hearing Bundle and Original Bundle have been uploaded to the Injunction Website; and

(b) Affixing a notice at a Prominent Location at the relevant Site/s shown edged red on Plans 1, 4 and 5 and edged yellow on Plans 2 and 3 stating where the documents can be accessed in hard copy and online. As I explain above at paragraphs 10(c)(i) and (ii), the Signs have been erected at the Prominent Locations at each of the Sites explaining how to access the documents online and how to otherwise obtain copies.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docusign Envelope ID: E51B41D4-A394-8753-83D2-07691188803C

12. Moog filed a certificate of service with the court on 6 November 2026 (pp.47-56), confirming that Kelly 6, Exhibit EK6, and the updated draft amended Injunction Order had been served on the Named Defendants (the "**Certificate of Service**"). The date calculated as the deemed date of service was stated as being 6 November 2026 in the Certificate of Service, however I understand that this should have in fact been 4 November 2026, as I explain below.

13. As noted at Schedule 2 of the Certificate of Service, the Alternative Means Order granted Moog permission to serve the Named Defendants by alternative means. Paragraph 2 of the Alternative Means Order states that effective service of the relevant documents will be deemed to have taken place on the date that all of the relevant steps in paragraph 1 of the Alternative Means Order have been taken (being the steps reproduced above at paragraph 12(a), (b), and (c)). These steps were taken by Moog as follows:

    (a) Per paragraph 1(i) of the Alternative Means Order, the documents referenced at paragraph 12 above were sent by first-class post to each of the Named Defendants on 4 November 2026;

    (b) Per paragraph 1(ii) of the Alternative Means Order, the documents referenced at paragraph 12 above were uploaded to the Injunction Website on 4 November 2026; and

    (c) Per paragraph 1(iii) of the Alternative Means Order, the Signs were already erected and in place at the Sites before 4 November 2026 (as detailed at paragraph 8(d) of Kelly 6 and paragraph 10(c) above).

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS PRIOR TO REVIEW HEARING

14. I understand that Moog's solicitors will prepare a supplemental bundle ahead of the Review Hearing which will contain copies of this witness statement and Exhibit EK7. Moog will ensure that this is served on the Named Defendants pursuant to the terms of the Alternative Means Order, and notified to the Defendants (the 'Persons Unknown') pursuant to the terms of the Injunction Order, and shall file a further certificate of service with the court confirming service of these additional documents.

STATEMENT OF TRUTH

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true. I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against anyone who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

Signed Signed by: Elwira J. Kelly 92C7F87347624E7... .....

Elwira Kelly

Docusign Envelope ID: E51B41D4-A394-8753-83D2-07691188803C

DATED this 12th day of November 2026.